Table 2: Clustering based on benchmark percentages of same cluster solutions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| ≥ 0.66 and ≥ 0.5 cluster ties | CZ, LV, PL | DE, FI | DK, IE, NO, SE | JP, KR | AU, BE, CH, LU, NL | SI, SK | FR, IL, ES, UK, US | EE | NZ |
| ≥ 0.5 cluster ties |  |  | JP, KR | DK, IE, NO, SE | FR, UK, IL, SI, SK |  | AU, BE  CH, EE, LU, NL, NZ, SK, SI |  | FR, UK, US |
| Strongest tie  in full cluster | LV\_PL  (1,0) | FI\_DE  (0.94) | DK\_IE (1,0)  DK\_NO (1,0)  DK\_SE (1,0)  IE\_NO (1,0)  IE\_SE (1,0)  NO\_SE (1,0) | JP\_KR  (0.94) | LU\_NL (1,0) | SI\_SK  (0.72) | ES\_US  (0.94) |  |  |
| ≥ 0.9 cluster ties | CZ\_LV  CZ\_PL LV\_PL | FI\_DE | DK\_IE  DK\_NO  DK\_SE  IE\_NO  IE\_SE  NO\_SE | JP\_KR | BE\_LU  BE\_NL  LU\_CH  LU\_NL  NL\_CH |  | ES\_US |  |  |
| # of ties in  full cluster | 3/3 | 1/1 | 6/6 | 1/1 | 10/10 | 1/1 | 9/10 |  |  |